

Comments and Suggestions Map

PROJECT-SPECIFIC COMMENTS

OTHER COMMENTS

PROJECT G-2: CONSTRUCT ACCESSIBLE ENTRANCE AT BONNEVIEW

- Improving accessibility near the south entrance to the park should be a priority. Having even a small loop that is entirely wheelchair accessible would be a big improvement
- Along with other nearby ADA improvement, make these two test cases and track usage and satisfaction of those who need ADA accommodations. Then iterate/improve before implementing other ADA priorities further NE in Miller Park

PROJECT E: TREES ADJACENT TO TOP OF WALL (LOCATION VARIES)

- Removing trees (historic and non-historic) that add pressure to the walls, and their roots grow into the stone masonry, is critical to the long-term maintenance and preservation of the retaining walls. And the walls are important for the long-term preservation of the park. If/when the walls fail they will never be replaced in-kind due to cost. They will likely be replaced with timber and concrete crib walls that will never last as long as the stone walls have - as is currently the case.
- These trees pose a great threat to the integrity of the retaining wall. Their removal should be a priority to prevent further deterioration.
- Preserving this 1930's originally built Civilian Conservation Corps rock and mortar wall should be a priority. This area is located within the Yalecrest National Historic District and contributes to that designation. It's unique, nearly 100 year old, historic value cannot be replaced if it is allowed to crumble into disrepair. It is a 'draw' for this particular trail in the city. It should be stabilized and preserved for future generations to appreciate and enjoy.

PROJECT B-2: FILL TO COVER EXPOSED WALL FOUNDATION

- Stabilizing the historic stone retaining walls is critical to the park.
- Seems critical for basic sustainability
- Stop runoff damage, safety
- These are beautiful walls that support the slope and integrity of this entrance
- Entrance to the area should be repaired to be welcoming

PROJECT E-1: REMOVE STACKED CONCRETE WALL

- See my comments RE: stabilizing the historic stone retaining walls is critical to the park.
- These walls seem unnecessary and the historic walls should be maintained (they are really amazing).
- Seems critical for basic sustainability
- Preserving this 1930's originally built Civilian Conservation Corps rock and mortar wall should be a priority.
- Entrance to the area should be restored and maintained

PROJECT F-1: CRIB WALL REPAIRS

- Improves trail stability. There is often erosion on the trail that narrows the width of the trail and presents a trip hazard.
- If this maintenance work isn't done it will only increase in cost later.
- Structural integrity seems... important.
- I've walked this part of the trail many times and it's obviously unstable and in need for reinforcement.
- Structural integrity of the existing retaining walls are my greatest concern.

PROJECT B-1: FILL TO COVER EXPOSED WALL FOUNDATION

- Preserve the walls
- This is a structural component that is critical to the enjoyment of the creekside in this area of the park.

PROJECT D: ADDRESS CONCENTRATED RUNOFF

- We live in the house above this trail. We have watched the slope down to the trail erode over the 3.5 years we have lived here. There is almost no vegetation left and several trees have fallen on to the trail. We have called the city several times to try and get someone to look at the problem. With each storm more and more dirt continues to slide on to the trail.

PROJECT G-1: CONSTRUCT WHEELCHAIR ACCESSIBLE ROUTE AND BOARDWALK

- I am not in favor of the boardwalk proposal. This is a small rustic park and that type of infrastructure seems like overkill (financially, environmentally (it does not fit with the rustic and not very busy nature of the park)). Thanks I've looked at the plan for the boardwalk--it still looks vulnerable to flooding.
- It's not clear from the material presented whether or not the existing high trail (w/ stairs) will be removed if the boardwalk-bridge plan is adopted. If so, is that included in the project's estimated cost?
- Please do not build a bridge and boardwalk. This would have a huge impact on the natural character of the park and undo creekside remediation efforts that were undertaken after the oil spill. There are already enough places to cross the creek. If accessibility improvements are undertaken on the south entrance only a small portion of the path would be inaccessible. This bridge is unnecessary and a waste of money. Please prioritize historic preservation and environmental impact with this project.

PUBLIC COMMENT

- Has the rock bridge been studied for structural integrity? If it needs to be addressed during this project, it would be my first choice in preserving.

PROJECT F-2: TIMBER & CRIB WALL REPAIRS

- The steep cross slope on much of the South side of the river can make walking and running treacherous, especially when there is mud, snow, or ice. It can also gradually worsen erosion effects on the trail.

PROJECT G-4: CONSTRUCT NEW STAIRS

- This slope needs to be improved.
- This steep section of the trail is probably the most difficult to navigate in mud, snow, or ice. A new stairway would enable many people to walk or run the full loop who currently cannot during much of the year. I think a stairway would also help manage long-term erosion.
- This area is steep and uneven, even for those without disabilities. Much more important to build these stairs than replace the others further north on the trail.
- ADA accessibility is important

PROJECT A-1: CORRECT CROSS-SLOPE

- Improving accessibility near the south entrance to the park should be a priority. Having even a small loop that is entirely wheelchair accessible would be a big improvement
- Along with other nearby ADA improvement, make these two test cases and track usage and satisfaction of those who need ADA accommodations. Then iterate/improve before implementing other ADA priorities further NE in Miller Park



GENERAL COMMENTS

- Miller Park has become too manicured and park-like. I prefer improvements that make Miller Park feel more like an urban forest rather than something like Sugar House Park, Liberty Park, Davis Park, etc. Keep Miller Park natural.
- My main comment and enormous plea is to leave all the dirt trails (I read that one may be taken away if a boardwalk goes in?) As a runner who searches the Valley for dirt trails, Miller Park in off hours is a godsend for trail loops that I don't have to drive to! There is so little dirt in SLC parks for running on (Liberty, Jordan River have none!) and there is a tiny bit at Wasatch Hollow but there are gates to open and shut. Please, please, please, leave the dirt!
- I'm not sure exactly where this is located, and it may be in the private section of the park to the south, but there is a chain link fence across the creek that should be removed. During spring runoff, it would be extremely hazardous if children or pets fell in the creek.